UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,067	06/29/2006	Hiroyuki Tanaka	Q95248	5100
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 12/09/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	BUIE-HATCHER, NICOLE M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1767	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/585,067	TANAKA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NICOLE M. BUIE-HATCHER	1767		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Sec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,11,16 and 17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8,9,11,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liden or b) objected to by the liden of the liden of the liden of by the liden of the drawing (s) is object to be set of the drawing (s) is object to be set of the drawing (s) is object to be set of the liden	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). iected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

Claims 8, 9, 11, 16, and 17 remain pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Since claim 8 recites an inorganic filler consisting of the crystalline carbon allotrope, no other inorganic filler can be added. Therefore, claim 16 does not further limit claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 1767

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8, 9, 11, and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amin et al. (US 5,461,107) in view of Fujimura et al. (US 2003/0228249 A1).

Regarding claims 8, 9, and 16, Amin et al. discloses a perfluoroelastomer composition mixed with a non-fibrillating fluorocarbon particulate polymer which is not an inorganic filler (C3/L31-41). The elastomeric composition may also include one or more additives (C5/L58-65). Amin et al. is concerned with reduced coefficients of friction of the seal prepared from the perfluoroelastomer composition (C3/L19-23).

However, Amin et al. does not disclose a crystalline carbon allotrope. Fujimura et al. teaches a fluoroelastomer consisting of ultradispersed diamond particles which is used for sealing (UDD) [0336]. The average particle size of the diamond particles are 4.2 nm or less [0002]. Amin et al. and Fujimura et al. are analogous art concerned with the same field of endeavor, namely perfluoropolymers concerned with decrease in the friction of coefficient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the additional additive of Amin et al. with the ultradispersed diamond particles per the teachings of Fujimura et al., and the motivation to do so would have been as Fujimura et al. suggests decreasing the friction coefficient of a perfluoro polymer or poly-fluoro elastic material [0336] and fluorine rubbers as well as increase tensile strength [0332]. Since other additives are

optional, the sealing material does not contain an inorganic filler other than the crystalline carbon allotrope.

Regarding claim 11, Amin et al. does not disclose the sealing material is for a semiconductor manufacturing equipment. The recitation of a new intended use for an obvious product does not make a claim to that obvious product patentable.

Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amin et al. (US 5,461,107) in view of Fujimura et al. (US 2003/0228249 A1).

Regarding claim 17, Amin et al. discloses a perfluoroelastomer composition mixed with a non-fibrillating fluorocarbon particulate polymer which is not an inorganic filler (C3/L31-41). The elastomeric composition may also include one or more additives (C5/L58-65). Amin et al. is concerned with reduced coefficients of friction of the seal prepared from the perfluoroelastomer composition (C3/L19-23).

However, Amin et al. does not disclose a crystalline carbon allotrope. Fujimura et al. teaches a fluoroelastomer consisting of ultradispersed diamond particles which is used for sealing (UDD) [0336]. The average particle size of the diamond particles are 4.2 nm or less [0002]. Amin et al. and Fujimura et al. are analogous art concerned with the same field of endeavor, namely perfluoropolymers concerned with decrease in the friction of coefficient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the additive of Amin et al. with the ultradispersed diamond particles per the teachings of Fujimura et al., and the motivation to do so would have been as Fujimura et al. suggests

decreasing the friction coefficient of a perfluoro polymer or poly-fluoro elastic material [0336] and fluorine rubbers as well as increase tensile strength [0332].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 2, filed 09/27/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8, 9, 11, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICOLE M. BUIE-HATCHER whose telephone number is (571)270-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on (571)272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,067 Page 6

Art Unit: 1767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1767 /N. M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1767 11/29/2010